Effect of chemical and nano fertilizers on the yield and its components of broad bean crop

Raghad Katran Hassan¹ Nasser Marouf Nasser²

¹Al-Musayyib Technical College, Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University

² Essaouira Technical Institute, Central Technical College

Nasser .78@mtu.edu.iq

Abstract

A field experiment was conducted in two locations, the first in Wasit province, Al-Hafria area, and the second in the Al-Azzawiya area, north of Babylon province, during 2022-2023 agricultural season, in order to study the effect of chemical and nano-fertilizers on traits of the yield and its components of the bean crop. The experiment included three factors, the first three cultivars: Aquadulce, Aquadulge, and LuzDeotono. The second factor is three levels of NPK chemical fertilizer (100, 50, 0%), and the third factor is three levels of nano-fertilizer at three concentrations (4, 2, 0 g L⁻¹). The experiment was applied in a split-plot method according to the design of complete plots. Randomized R.C.B.D with three replications. The Aquadulce cultivar excelled in the number of seeds per pod, reaching 6.05 and 5.92 pod seeds, the total yield was 9.11 and 9.45 tons .ha⁻¹, and the biological yield was 14.32 and 15.04 tons .ha⁻¹ for the two location respectively. While the Luz De Otono cultivar gave the two highest averages in the number of pods .plant and the weight of 100 seeds, reaching 18.83 pods .plant⁻¹ in the second location and 159.22 g in the first location. Increasing the level of mineral fertilizer to 100% led to an increase in the yield trait and its components for the two location, as It gave the highest averages in the number of pods .plant, the number of seeds per pod, the total seed yield, and the biological yield, which reached 21.15 and 22.28 pods .plant⁻¹, 6.28, and 6.22 seed pod⁻¹, 11.94, and 12.36 tons per ha-1, and 17.66, and 18.27. Ton-1 for successive signatories compared to the control treatment. Spraying with nanofertilizer with the highest concentration of N2 gave the highest average weight of 100 seeds, reaching 164.25 and 163.65 grams for the two location respectively. The interaction between chemical and nanofertilizers had a significant effect on some yield traits, as the combination (F2×N2) gave the two highest averages for total seed yield and biological yield, reaching 14.41 and 15.00 tons ha⁻¹ and 20.87 and 21.60 tons ha-1 for the two location respectively. The interaction between the chemical fertilizer and the cultivars had a significant effect, as the combination (F2×V1) gave the highest averages in total yield, amounting to 12.50 and 13.20 tons ha⁻¹. The interaction between the nanofertilizers and the cultivars had a significant effect, as the combination (N2×V2) gave the two highest

averages in the number of The pods .plant and the weight of 100 seeds reached 20.33 pods .plant and 167.02 grams in the first location. The triple interaction was significant in the total seed yield and the biological yield of the two location in the sequence with the combination ($F2\times N2\times V1$), giving the two highest averages of 15.76 and 16.93 tons ha⁻¹ and 22.79 and 24.77 tons ha⁻¹.

Keywords: chemical fertilizer, nano, cultivars introduction:

The broad bean crop, Viciafaba L., is one of the important seed Fabaceae crops. It is a basic food for millions of people in poor countries. Its seeds contain a high percentage of protein, ranging between 28-38%, in addition to containing in most cultivars carbohydrates reaching a percentage of (40-46%). And fibres, mineral elements, and vitamins such as vitamin B1, B2, and vitamin C [17] . broad beans have an important role in improving soil properties by fixing atmospheric nitrogen. They also contribute to organizing agricultural cycles for crops, especially in rain-fed areas where it is difficult to use chemical fertilizers, The bean crop contributes to improving the physical and chemical traits of the soil through its important role in fixing atmospheric nitrogen because its roots contain root nodules that coexist with Rhizobium bacteria[2]. The total yield of beans in the world reached 8,210 tons ha⁻¹ [10]. while its productivity in Iraq reached 3,790 kg ha⁻¹ (Central Bureau of Statistics 2020.(The importance of chemical fertilization was known in 1960 in providing the necessary nutrients to increase the efficiency of agricultural production. It was

noted that 50% of the increase in improving crop production is due to the use of mineral fertilizers[9] . Chemical fertilizers... It is classified into nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium fertilizers, in addition to calcium magnesium and sulfur[13] Nanotechnology may contribute to increasing agricultural production and also reduces environmental problems. Nanofertilizers are highly effective because they have a larger surface area [7]. The basis for expanding the cultivation of the bean crop and cultivating high-yielding cultivars to increase production is by following field methods with an effective effect [4]. The study aimed to determine the best amount of chemical and nano-fertilizer that contributes to improving the broad bean yield and to determine the best cultivar in responding to fertilization with different concentrations of Chemical and fertilizers and studying the interaction between the study factors to find out the best combination in improving the characteristics of the broad bean crop.

Materials and methods:

The field experiment was conducted in two locations during the agricultural season (2022-

2023), and samples were taken randomly from different places and mixed together to take a compolocation sample representing the

experimental field for both locations and at a depth of (0-30) cm.

Table. (1) Some physical and chemical traits of the experimental field before planting and for the two location (2022-2023)

unit	Secod location First location		traits	
-	7.9	7.1	pН	
DS. m ⁻¹	4.2	4.7	E.C	
Centmol per kg ⁻¹	23	27	CEC	
mg/kg soil	17.9	14.8	availible nitrogen	
mg/kg soil	7.4	7.1	availible phosphorus	
mg/kg soil	189	182	availible potassium	
g/kg soil	102	100	Organic matter	
Mg. m ⁻³	1.21	1.27	Bulk density	
g.kg ⁻¹	g kg-1 soil	229	Clay	
	312	309	Silt	Soil separators
	451	449	sand	
	silty		Soil textur	e

Plowing operations were carried out using a flatbed plow, and leveling and smoothing operations were carried out. The land was divided according to the design used in the experiment, the field was planned, and the seeds were planted on October 15 [6], and the seeds were planted on lines, and the distance between one line and another was (60 cm), and the experimental unit was Using a board (3*3) containing six lines of length (3 m) per line. The seeds were planted at a distance of (25 cm) between one hole and another, and two seeds were placed in one hole. The

experiment was carried out (the split-plate experiment). Split plots (according to a randomized complete block design) (R.C.B.D) with three replicates and includes a split-plate experiment to study three factors, which are the varieties Aquadulce, Aquadulge, and LuzDeotono, the chemical fertilizer at three levels (100, 50,0%) and the nano fertilizer at three concentrations (4,2,0 g.L⁻¹) Some characteristics were measured Yield: 1. Number of seeds per pod 2. Number of pods per plant 3. Weight of 100 seeds 4. Total seed yield 5. Biological yield.

Results and discussion:

1. Number of seeds per pod (seed pod ⁻¹)

The results of the statistical analysis showed a significant effect of the individual factors and the bi-interaction between Chemical Fertilizer, Nano Fertilizer, Chemical Fertilizer, Cultivars, and the triple interactions in the first location, while the significant effect was limited to the single factors, the bi-interaction between Chemical Fertilizer and Cultivars, and the triple interactions in this traits .It was observed that there was a significant increase in the number of seeds per pod for both locations with an increase in the level of chemical fertilizer, as the highest level of the mineral F2 recorded the two highest averages for this trait, reaching 6.28 and 6.22 seed pod ¹, while the control treatment F0 gave the two lowest averages for the number of seeds in the pod, reaching 6.28 and 6.22 seed pods⁻¹. 4.62 and 4.72 seeds pod⁻¹. The reason for this may be that this increase is due to the role of bacteria, which contributed to increasing the nodulation process and its weight, thus increasing the fixation of atmospheric nitrogen and providing it to the plant, as well as contributing to equipping the plant with plant hormones, which helped in the nodulation process and good seed growth. And reducing competition between them for food materials [18], and this result agreed with the findings of [8] who showed that there were significant differences in the number of seeds per pod when adding NPK compound fertilizer to the broad bean crop. The results also showed that spraying nano fertilizer with the highest concentration of N2 led to an increase in the number of seeds per pod for both locations, as it gave the highest averages of 5.90 and 5.92 seed pod⁻¹, while the control treatment N0 gave the lowest average of 5.04 and 5.01 seed pod⁻¹. The reason for this may be attributed to the increase in pod length at the same concentrations, which in turn leads to an increase in the number of seeds in the pod. This result agreed with the findings of [12] who showed that there was a significant increase in the number of seeds in the pod when nano fertilizer was added to the bean crop. The cultivar (V1) also recorded the highest averages for the number of seeds per pod, reaching 6.05 and 5.92 seeds per pod, for both locations, while the cultivar (V2) gave the lowest averages, reaching 5.04 and 5.32 seeds per pod, respectively, for both locations. This result agreed with What was indicated by [3] who showed that there was a significant increase in the types of broad beans. As for the effect of the interaction between Chemical Fertilizer and Nano Fertilizer, it significant in the first location only. The highest level of Chemical Fertilizer F2 with the highest concentration of Nano N2 was recorded for the combination (F2×N2). The

highest average for this trait reached 6.43 pod-1 seeds, while The control treatment for both the mineral F0 and nano fertilizer N0 combination (F0×N0) gave the lowest average of 4.01 seeds pod⁻¹ in the first location only. There was also a significant effect of the binary interaction between chemical fertilizer and cultivars for both locations, as the highest level of mineral F2 with the cultivar (V1) gave the combination (F2×V1) the highest average number of seeds per pod, amounting to 6.84 seeds pod-1 in the first location, and the combination (F2×V1) gave the highest average. V2) The highest average for this trait was 6.46 pod seeds ⁻¹ in the second location. While the triple intervention had a significant effect for both locations on this trait, as the combination consisting of the highest level of chemical and the highest concentration of nano fertilizer with the Aquadulca cultivar ((F2×N2×V1) gave the highest average of 7.06 seeds pod⁻¹ in the first location, and the combination gave (F2×N2×V3 had the highest average of 6.97 seeds pod⁻¹ in the second location, while the combination (F0×N0×V2) gave the two lowest averages in this trait, reaching 3.56 and 3.63 seeds pod⁻¹, respectively, for both locations.

Table (2) The effect of chemical fertilizer and nano fertilizer on the number of seeds per pod (seed pod-1) for the first location 2022-2023

nano fertilizer			Chemica	nemica		
N/2	N1	NO	1	cultivars		
11/2	INI	NU	fertilizer			
5.70	5.46	4.17	F_0			
6.63	6.36	5.60	F_1	V1		
7.06	7.00	6.46	F_2			
4.46	4.60	3.56	F_0			
5.43	5.70	4.36	F_1	V2		
5.86	5.60	5.76	F_2			
4.83	4.46	4.30	F_0			
6.73	6.43	5.36	F_1	V3		
6.36	6.63	5.83	F_2			
	0.37		LSD (0.05)			
	cı	ıltivars × na	no fertilizer			
6.46	6.27	5.41		V1		
5.25	5.30	4.56		V2		
5.97	5.84	5.16		V3		
	N.S		I	LSD (0.05)		
	Chemi	cal fertilizer	× nano ferti	ilizer		
	Chemi	cai icitiiizci	^ nano tert	mzei		
5.00	4.84	4.01		F0		
6.26	6.16	5.11		F1		
6.43	6.41	6.02		F2		
0.23			I	LSD (0.05)		
	average na	no fertilizer				
5.90 5.80 5.04		I	LSD (0.05)			
	N2 5.70 6.63 7.06 4.46 5.43 5.86 4.83 6.73 6.36 6.46 5.25 5.97 5.00 6.26 6.43	N2 N1 5.70 5.46 6.63 6.36 7.06 7.00 4.46 4.60 5.43 5.70 5.86 5.60 4.83 4.46 6.73 6.43 6.36 6.63 0.37 cu 6.46 6.27 5.25 5.30 5.97 5.84 N.S Chemic 5.00 4.84 6.26 6.16 6.43 6.41 0.23 average na	N2 N1 N0 5.70 5.46 4.17 6.63 6.36 5.60 7.06 7.00 6.46 4.46 4.60 3.56 5.43 5.70 4.36 5.86 5.60 5.76 4.83 4.46 4.30 6.73 6.43 5.36 6.36 6.63 5.83 0.37 cultivars × na 6.46 6.27 5.41 5.25 5.30 4.56 5.97 5.84 5.16 N.S Chemical fertilizer 5.00 4.84 4.01 6.26 6.16 5.11 6.43 6.41 6.02 0.23 average nano fertilizer	N2 N1 N0 I fertilizer 5.70 5.46 4.17 F ₀ 6.63 6.36 5.60 F ₁ 7.06 7.00 6.46 F ₂ 4.46 4.60 3.56 F ₀ 5.43 5.70 4.36 F ₁ 5.86 5.60 5.76 F ₂ 4.83 4.46 4.30 F ₀ 6.73 6.43 5.36 F ₁ 6.36 6.63 5.83 F ₂ 0.37 I cultivars × nano fertilizer 6.46 6.27 5.41 5.25 5.30 4.56 5.97 5.84 5.16 Chemical fertilizer × nano fertilizer 5.00 4.84 4.01 6.26 6.16 5.11 6.43 6.41 6.02 0.23 average nano fertilizer		

Table (3) The effect of chemical fertilizer and nano fertilizer on the number of grain per pod (seed pod-1) for the second location 2022-2023

cultivars×Chemical	nano fertilizer			Chemical	cultivars	
fertilizer	N2	N1	N0	fertilizer	cultivals	
5.06	5.33	5.36	4.50	F_0		
6.32	6.60	6.56	5.80	F_1	V1	
6.37	6.66	6.85	5.60	F ₂		
4.67	5.16	5.22	3.63	F_0		
5.45	5.43	5.90	5.03	F_1	V2	
5.84	6.26	5.83	5.43	F_2		
4.42	4.70	4.50	4.06	F_0		
5.73	6.20	5.83	5.16	F_1	V3	
6.46	6.97	6.56	5.86	F_2		
0.27	0.49				LSD (0.05)	
average cultivars	cultivars × nano fertilizer					
5.92	6.19	6.26	5.30		V1	
5.32	5.62	5.65	4.70		V2	
5.54	5.95	5.63	5.03		V3	
0.23		N.S			LSD (0.05)	
average Chemical		Chen	nical fertilizer	× nano fertili:	zer	
fertilizer		Chen	near rerunzer	nuno rerum		
4.72	5.06	5.02	4.06		F0	
5.83	6.07	6.10	5.33		F1	
6.22	6.63	6.41	5.63		F2	
0.14	N.S				LSD (0.05)	
		average na	no fertilizer			
0.17	5.92	5.84	5.01		LSD (0.05)	

Number of pods . plant (pod plant ⁻¹)

The results of the statistical analysis showed a significant effect of the individual factors

and the bi- and triple interactions for both location on the number of pods . plant.The results indicate that there is a significant effect

of chemical fertilizer in increasing the number of pods . plant for both location, as the highest level of chemical F2 gave the two highest averages for this trait, amounting to 21.15 and 22.28 pods plant ⁻¹, while the control treatment F0 gave the two lowest averages for the number of pods . plant, amounting to 14.33 and 14.18. pods plant ⁻¹ for the two location respectively. The reason for this is that adding fertilizers such chemical as nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium increases the of growth strength and increases photosynthesis and thus the net process of photosynthesis, which leads to an increase in the number of pods in the plant. This result agreed with what was indicated by [16] who showed an increase in the number of pods when adding NPK mineral fertilizer to the broad bean crop. It became clear that spraying with nanoparticles led to a significant increase in the number of pods. plant for both location, as the highest concentration of nanoN2 recorded the two highest averages for this trait, amounting to 19.84 and 20.23 pods plant ⁻¹, while the control N0 treatment gave the two lowest averages for the number of pods. plant, amounting to 15.06 and 15. 75 pods plant ⁻¹. The reason for this may be that adding nanofertilizer at different stages of growth benefits the plant by encouraging vegetative growth, such as plant height, leaf area, chlorophyll content, and number of plant branches, thus increasing the of photosynthesis, which in turn works to increase the production of necessary organic materials. To form flowers and thus increase the number of pods in the plant, and this result agreed with the findings of [5] who reported increasing the number of pods by increasing nano spraying on the broad bean crop. It is clear from the results that there is a significant difference between the cultivars in increasing the number of pods, as the cultivar (V2) achieved the highest average of 18.18 pods plant ⁻¹in the first location, and the cultivar (V3) excelled, recording the highest average for this trait of 18.83 pods plant ⁻¹in the second location. While the cultivar (V1) gave the lowest average of 17.23 pods plant ⁻¹in the first location, while in the second location, the cultivar (V2) achieved the lowest average number of pods . plant, which amounted to 17.07 pods plant ⁻¹. The interaction between chemical and nano-fertilizer was significant in both locations, as the combination (F2×N2), represented by the highest level of chemical F2 with the highest concentration of nano-N2, gave the two highest averages for this trait, reaching 24.26 and 24.62 plant pods-1, while the combination (F0×N0) gave) Represented by the control treatment for both chemical and nano, the lowest number of pods . plant reached 12.19 and 11.70 pods plant ⁻¹, respectively, for both location. There was also

a significant effect of the bilateral interaction between the chemical fertilizer and the cultivars on the number of pods. plant, as the highest level of the chemical F2 with the cultivar (V3) combination (F2×V3) gave the highest average for this trait, amounting to 21.93 pods plant ⁻¹ in the first location, and the cultivar (V1) achieved The combination (F2×V1) recorded the highest average number of pods, amounting to 23.87 pods . plant, at the second location, while the control treatment (no fertilization) F0 with the cultivar (V1). The combination (F0×V1) recorded the lowest average number of pods . plant, amounting to 12.98 pods plant ⁻¹in the first location, while the second location gave the cultivar (V2) the lowest average for this trait, amounting to 13.04 pods plant ⁻¹. As for the effect of the interaction between nanofertilizer and the cultivars, it was significant in the number of pods . plant for both location. The highest concentration of nanoN2 with the cultivar (V2) and the combination (N2×V2) gave the highest average for this trait, amounting to 20.33 pods

plant ⁻¹in the first location. The combination (N2×V1) had the highest average for the same trait, amounting to 21.65 pods plant ⁻¹in the second location, while the control treatment for nano NO as cultivar (V1) gave the combination (N0×V1) the lowest average number of pods . plant, amounting to 13.94 pods plant ⁻¹. In the first location and the combination (N0×V2), the lowest average for the same trait was recorded, amounting to 14.73 pods plant⁻¹, in the second location. The triple intervention also had a significant effect on the number of pods . plant for both location, as the combination consisting of the highest level and concentration of both chemical and nano with the LUZ DeOtono (V3) cultivar (F2×N2×V3) gave the highest average for this trait, amounting to 25.23 pods . plant-1. In the first location, in the second location, the combination (F2×N2×V1) gave the highest average of 27.93 pods plant⁻¹, while the control treatment (F0 \times N0 \times V1) gave the lowest average number of pods . plant, amounting to 10.42 pods plant ⁻¹ for both location.

Table (4) The effect of chemical and nanofertilization on the number of pods . plant (pods plant $\,^{\text{-1}}\!)$ for the first location 2022-2023

Table (5) The effect of chemical fertilizer and nano fertilizer on the number of pods per plant (plant pod⁻¹) for the second location 2022-2023

cultivars×Chemical	nano fertilizer			Chemical	14:	
fertilizer	N2	N1	N0	fertilizer	cultivars	
13.88	16.60	14.50	10.55	F_0		
18.43	20.43	19.20	15.67	F ₁	V1	
23.87	27.93	22.25	21.43	F_2		
13.04	14.33	13.76	11.03	F ₀		
17.52	20.56	17.57	14.43	F ₁	V2	
20.65	22.03	21.20	18.73	F_2		
15.62	16.80	16.53	13.53	F_0		
18.55	19.52	18.30	17.83	F_1	V3	
22.33	23.90	24.56	18.53	F_2		
0.66	1.01			LSD (0.05)		
average cultivars	cultivars × nano fertilizer					
18.73	21.65	18.65	15.88		V1	
17.07	18.97	17.51	14.73		V2	
18.83	20.07	19.80	16.63	V3		
0.49		0.60			LSD (0.05)	
average Chemical		Chen	nical fertilizer	× nano fertili	zer	
fertilizer		Chen	mear rerunzer	nuno rerum		
14.18	15.91	14.93	11.70		F0	
18.17	20.17	18.35	15.97		F1	
22.28	24.62	22.67	19.56		F2	
0.39	0.59 LSD (0.05)			LSD (0.05)		
		average na	no fertilizer			
0.33	20.23	18.65	15.75		LSD (0.05)	

Weight of 100 seeds (g)

It was clear from the results of the statistical analysis that there was a significant effect of the individual factors and the bi and triple interactions in the first location, while the results of the second location showed the significant effect of the chemical and nanofertilizers and the cultivars and the bi-interaction between the mineral and nanofertilizers and the chemical and the cultivars

and the triple interactions on the weight of 100 seeds. The results showed that the highest level of chemical F2 was excelled in the weight of 100 seeds for both locations, giving the highest averages of 167.92 and 167.93 grams, while the control treatment F0 gave the lowest averages of 149.27 and 149.74 grams. The reason for the increase in the weight of 100 seeds may be due to the addition of fertilizer. Chemically, NPK, nitrogen is involved in the formation of the nucleic acids RNA and DNA necessary to build proteins in the plant. This result agreed with what was indicated by Jassim et al. (2015), who found an increase in seed weight when compound fertilizer was added to the broad bean crop. results indicated that the highest The concentration of nanoN2 in both locations was excelled on the weight of 100 seeds, as it gave the highest averages of 164.25 and 163.65 g, while the control treatment N0 gave the lowest averages for this traits, which amounted to 152.90 and 154.29 g, respectively, for both locations. This may be due to The reason for this is the effect of nanofertilizer in increasing the leaf area and the chlorophyll content of the leaves, which was reflected positively in the efficiency of photosynthesis and increasing the plant storage that is later transferred to the developing seeds and thus leads to their filling and increasing their size. This result agreed with what Aziz arrived at, which showed that there was a significant increase. In the weight of 100 seeds when adding nanofertilizer to the bean crop. The cultivar (V3) also recorded a significantly excelled in the weight of 100 seeds, giving the highest average of 159.22 grams in the first location, while the cultivar (V2) achieved the highest average of 162.50 grams in the second location. The reason for the cultivar's superiority may be due to the increase in the percentage of protein in its seeds. It was agreed that This result is in line with what was indicated by [19] who showed the presence of a significant excel between the types. The interaction between chemical and nano-fertilizer was significant for both locations. The combination $(F2\times N2)$, represented by the highest level of chemical F2 with the highest concentration of nano-N2, gave the two highest averages of 174.78 and 173.95 g, while the combination (F0 \times N0) gave the two lowest averages in weight. The 100 seeds reached 145.14 and 146.46 grams, respectively, for both locations. The interaction between chemical fertilizer and cultivars had a significant effect on the weight of 100 seeds for both locations, as the combination (F2×V2) gave the two highest averages for this trait, amounting to 170.01 and 173.11 respectively, g, while gave combination $(F0\times V2)$ the lowest average, amounting to 148.01 grams at the location. The first, while the combination

(F0×V1) recorded the lowest average of 148.57 grams in the second location. The interaction between the nanofertilizer and the cultivars was significant in this characteristic for the first location only, as the combination (N2×V2) gave the highest average weight per 100 seeds, amounting to 167.02 g, while the combination (N0×V2) achieved the lowest average, amounting to 151.50 g. The triple intervention also gave a significant effect on this trait and for both locations. The

combination consisting of the level and highest concentration of both chemical and nano with the (V2) cultivar (F2×N2×V2) gave the two highest average weights of 100 seeds, reaching 177.32 and 175.40 grams, While combination respectively. the (F0×N0×V2) recorded the lowest average, amounting to 140.35 grams, in the second location, the combination (F0×N0×V1) gave the lowest average, amounting to 145.10 g.

Table (6) The effect of chemical and nanofertilization on the weight of 100 seeds (g) for the first location 2022-2023

cultivars×Chemical	nano fertilizer			Chemical	1.1	
fertilizer	N2	N1	N0	fertilizer	cultivars	
150.40	152.87	150.24	148.08	F_0		
157.17	161.05	157.88	152.58	F_1	V1	
164.78	173.31	161.14	159.90	F ₂		
148.01	158.24	145.43	140.35	F_0		
158.23	165.51	159.22	149.95	F ₁	V2	
170.01	177.32	168.51	164.20	F ₂		
149.42	151.23	150.03	147.00	F_0		
159.28	165.01	161.72	151.10	F ₁	V3	
168.96	173.71	170.24	162.92	F_2		
1.16	1.81 LSD (0.				LSD (0.05)	
average cultivars	cultivars × nano fertilizer					
157.45	162.41	156.42	153.52	V1		
158.75	167.02	157.72	151.50		V2	
159.22	163.32	160.67	153.67	V3		
0.68		0.98		LSD (0.05)		
average Chemical		Chan	nical fertilizer	× nano fortili	zor	
fertilizer		Chen	incai icitilizci	~ nano tertifi.	ZCI	
149.27	154.11	148.57	145.14	F0		
158.22	163.86	159.61	151.21	F1		
167.92	174.78	166.63	162.34		F2	
0.75		1.09		LSD (0.05)		
		average na	no fertilizer			
0.59	164.25	158.27	152.90		LSD (0.05)	

Table (7) The effect of chemical fertilizer and nano fertilizer on the weight of 100 seeds (g) for the second location 2022-2023

cultivars×Chemical	nano fertilizer			Chemical	cultivars	
fertilizer	N2	N1	N0	fertilizer	cunivars	
148.57	151.40	149.20	145.10	F ₀		
159.66	161.24	159.18	158.57	F ₁	V1	
162.17	173.22	162.37	150.91	F ₂		
151.91	156.49	151.62	147.61	F_0		
162.48	168.60	160.99	157.84	F_1	V2	
173.11	175.40	175.40	168.54	F_2		
148.74	150.01	149.54	146.69	F_0		
157.56	163.31	158.90	150.47	F_1	V3	
168.52	173.23	169.44	162.89	F_2		
1.19		2.29		LSD (0.05)		
average cultivars	cultivars × nano fertilizer					
156.80	161.95	156.92	151.52		V1	
162.50	166.83	162.67	158.00		V2	
158.27	162.18	159.29	153.35		V3	
0.98		N.S			LSD (0.05)	
average Chemical		Cher	nical fertilizer	×nano fertiliz	zer	
fertilizer		Chei		Anano Terunz	ECI	
149.74	152.63	150.12	146.46		F0	
159.90	164.38	159.69	155.63		F1	
167.93	173.95	169.07	160.78		F2	
0.66	1.31 LSD (0.05)			LSD (0.05)		
		average na	no fertilizer			
0.82	163.65	159.63	154.29		LSD (0.05)	
Total good wield (top l	1\				al and wield for both	

Total seed yield (ton ha⁻¹)

The results of the statistical analysis indicated a significant effect of chemical and nanofertilizers, cultivars, and binary and triple

interactions on the total seed yield for both location.

It was observed that there was a significant increase in seed yield with increasing chemical level for both location, as the F2

level gave a significantly excelled in seed vield amounting to 11.94 and 12.36 tons ha⁻¹. while the control treatment F0 gave the two lowest averages for this trait, amounting to 5.21 and 5.25 tons ha⁻¹. The reason for this may be due the addition of chemical fertilizers, which led to an increase in the number of pods, the number of seeds, and an increase in the weight of 100 seeds, thus increasing the total seed yield. This result agreed with what was reached by [2], who showed that there was an increase in the total yield when adding NPK on the bean crop. Seed yield began to increase significantly in its averages with increasing nano concentration for both location. as the higher N2 concentration gave the two highest averages for seed yield, amounting to 10.45 and 10.69 tons ha-1, while the control treatment (no spraying) N0 recorded the two lowest averages for this trait, amounting to 6.26 and 6. 49 tons ha-1, and the reason for this may be that the increase due to the effect of these concentrations in the seed yield traits was the result of an increase in the number of pods in the plant,. It is clear from the results that there is a significantly excelled between the cultivars in increasing the total amount of seeds for both location, as the cultivar (V1) achieved the two highest averages for this trait, amounting to 9.11 and 9.45 tons ha⁻¹, while the cultivar (V2) gave the two lowest averages, amounting to 8.06 and 8.13 tons.ha ¹, This result agreed with what was indicated by [14] who showed that there were significant differences between the classes.

As for the effect of the interaction between chemical and nano-fertilizers, the combination (F2×N2) was excelled for both location, giving the highest averages of 14.41 and 15.00

tons ha⁻¹, while the combination (F0×N0) gave the two lowest averages for total seed yield. amounting to 3.52 and 3.50. tons ha⁻¹, respectively. It was also shown that there was a significant effect of the interaction between chemical fertilizer and cultivars in this trait for both location, as the cultivar (V1) and the highest level of mineral F2 excelled in the combination (F2×V1), giving the two highest averages of 12.50 and 13.20 tons ha⁻¹, while the cultivar recorded (V2) With fertilization with the chemical F0 combination (F0×V2), the two lowest averages for total seed yield reached 4.97 and 5.02 tons ha⁻¹, respectively. The interaction between nanofertilizer and the cultivars led to a significant effect in increasing the total seed vield for both location, as the concentration of nanoN2 with the cultivar (V1) excelled on the combination $(N2\times V1)$ and gave the two highest averages for this trait, reaching 11.29 and 11.76 tons ha⁻¹. While the combination (N0×V2), which is not spraying with nano-N0 with the cultivar (V2), gave the two lowest averages, amounting to 5.94 and 6.02 tons ha⁻¹, respectively, for both location. The triple intervention had a significant effect on this traits for both location, as the combination consisting of the highest level of chemical and the highest nano concentration with the Aquadulca cultivar (F2×N2×V1) gave the two highest averages for this characteristic, reaching 15.76 and 16.93 tons ha-1, while it gave The combination $(F0\times N0\times V2)$, which is represented by the control treatment for metallic and nano with the type (V2), had the two lowest averages, reaching 3.29 and 3.14 tons ha-1, respectively.

Table (8) The effect of chemical and nanofertilization on trait of total seed yield (ton ha^{-1}) for the first location 2022-2023

cultivars×Chemic	nano fertilizer			Chemical		
al fertilizer	N2	N1	N0	fertilizer	cultivars	
5.41	6.69	6.13	3.40	F_0		
9.43	11.43	10.01	6.85	F_1	V1	
12.50	15.76	12.87	8.87	F_2		
4.97	6.03	5.60	3.29	F_0		
8.30	10.31	8.56	6.05	F_1	V2	
10.92	12.90	11.38	8.47	F_2		
5.25	6.23	5.67	3.87	F_0		
8.69	10.14	8.94	6.98	F_1	V3	
12.40	14.59	14.06	8.55	F ₂		
0.39		0.64 LSD (0.05)				
average cultivars		cultivars × nano fertilizer				
9.11	11.29	9.67	6.37	V1		
8.06	9.74	8.51	5.94	V2		
8.78	10.32	9.56	6.46	V3		
0.26		0.36		LSD	(0.05)	
averageي		Che	mical fertiliz	zer × nano fertilizer		
5.21	6.31	5.80	3.52	F0		
8.81	10.63	9.17	6.63	F1		
11.94	14.41	12.77	8.63	F2		
0.24		0.38 LSD (0.05)			(0.05)	
	average nano fertilizer					
0.21	10.45	9.25	6.26	LSD	(0.05)	

Table (9) The effect of chemical fertilizer and nano fertilizer on the total seed yield (ton ha⁻¹) for the second location 2022-2023

cultivars×Chemical	nano fertilizer			Chemical	1, .	
fertilizer	N2	N1	N0	fertilizer	cultivars	
5.51	6.78	6.17	3.58	F ₀		
9.64	11.58	10.19	7.17	F ₁	V1	
13.20	16.93	13.09	9.58	F ₂		
5.02	6.12	5.79	3.14	F ₀		
8.33	10.04	8.88	6.08	F ₁	V2	
11.05	12.86	11.45	8.85	F ₂		
5.21	6.29	5.56	3.79	F_0		
8.89	10.40	8.99	7.28	F ₁	V3	
12.83	15.21	14.29	9.00	F ₂		
0.44	0.71			LSD (0.05)		
average cultivars	cultivars × nano fertilizer					
9.45	11.76	9.82	6.78		V1	
8.13	9.67	8.71	6.02		V2	
8.98	10.63	9.61	6.69		V3	
0.40		0.46		LSD (0.05)		
average Chemical fertilizer		Chemical fertilizer × nano fertilizer				
5.25	6.40	5.84	3.50		F0	
8.95	10.67	9.35	6.84		F1	
12.36	15.00	12.94	9.14		F2	
0.21	0.39				LSD (0.05)	
		average na	no fertilizer			
0.24	10.69	9.38	6.49		LSD (0.05)	

References:

A.M.Aboyosf,R.A.Abou El-Khair
 M.O.El- Mothtasem And S.S Shawer
 (2020). Lmpacts Of Nano –Fertilizers
 And Chemical Fertilizers On Plant

Growth And Nutrient Uptake By Faba Bean (Vicia Faba L.) Plant Al-Azhar Journal Of Agricultural Research (7) No(1) June(2022).

- Abbas Saddam Hussein (2012).
 Performance analysis of genotypes in beans under the influence of different levels of NPK fertilization. College of Agriculture Al-Qasim Green University. Kufa Journal of Agricultural Sciences. Volume 4. Issue 2.
- 3. Abdul Qadir, Omar Al-Mawjoud, Saleh Muhammad Ibrahim Al-Jubouri, and Laith Mazen Hadi. 2020. The effect of nano-hydroxy fertilizer and nitrogen and urea controls on the growth and yield of two cultivars of faba beans (Vicia faba L.). Al-Furat Journal of Agricultural Sciences. 12(2): 220-227.
- 4. Al-Hassani, Ali Rahim Karim (2018). The effect of foliar nutration with proline and a mixture of nutrients on the growth and yield of faba bean (Vicia Faba L.) cultivars. Doctoral thesis, Department of Field Crops, College of Agriculture. Al-Muthanna University.
- Alkafaji, Maitham
 Hussien, Al. Kaby, Aman Hameed And
 Lhsany, Ali (2020)
- Al-Touki, Warqa Baqir Aliwi. (2015).
 Response of genotypes of the bean crop
 Vicia faba L. to planting dates in
 Muthanna Governorate. Master's thesis,
 College of Agriculture Al-Muthanna
 University.

- 7. Anonymouse (2009). Nano Technology In Agriculture And Technology In Agriculture .Journal Of Agriculture And Technology .(In Persian):114:54-655.
- 8. Awhid, Bassam Muften, Jbeil, Walid Abdel Reda and Jassim, Kifah Abdel Reda. (2015) response. Cultivars of the bean crop (Vicia faba L.) according to levels of NPK complex fertilizer.
- Bashour, Issam, Muhammad Al-Fouly, Antoine Al-Sayegh, Dilek Anak, Hanafi Abu Al-Haqq, Ness Baba Doubles, and Nizar Ahmed. 2007. Guide to the use of fertilizers in the Near East. FAO. Rome. p. 9.
- 10. F.A.O2020 . Food And Agriculture Organization Of The United Nation Faostat.
- 11. Ghulam, Said ; Khan, Muhammad Jamil ; Usman Khalid And Shakeebullah (2012) , Effect Of Different Rates Of Pressmud On Plant Growth And Yield Of Lentil In Calcareous Soil ,Sarhad J. Agric. Vol.28, No.2.
- 12. Gomaa,M,.Abuo Zeid.A.Z.A And Salim, B (2016). Response Of Some Faba Bean To Fertilizer Manufactured By Nanotechnology .J. Adv .Agric .Res.Vol.21(3): 384-399.
- 13. Havlin ,J.L.Beaton,J.D.Tisdal,S.L . And Nelson ,W.L.2005,Soil Fertility And

- Fertilizers AN Introduction To Nutrient Management 7th Ed. Preutice Hall.New Jersey .
- 14. Imran, Amir Nihad (2023). The effect of organic and biological fertilizer on the growth and yield of two types of beans. Master's thesis. College of Technology/Al-Musayyib. Al-Furat Al-Awsat Technical University. Iraq.
- 15. Jassim, Ali Hussein and Amir Sadiq Obaid. (2013). The effect of spraying foliar fertilizers some and their with the interaction boron on concentration of some nutrients in the leaves of the bean plant (Vicia faba L.) Al-Furat Journal of Agricultural Sciences, Volume (4) Issue (5): 56-63.
- 16. Jassim, Ali Hussein, Enab, Hoda Ahmed and Abd, Hamid Musa. (2015). The effect of adding organic and chemical fertilizers and their interaction with foliar fertilizers on the yield of beans (Vicia Faba L.).
- Khalil, Nabil Ali, Al-Mutawali Abdullah
 Al-Mutawali, Magdy Muhammad

- Shafiq, and Wajiya Abdel-Azim Al-Murshedy. (2015). Cereal crops and legumes. faculty of Agriculture. Cairo University. p. 186. Arab Organization for Agricultural Development Khartoum. (2016). Arab Agricultural Statistics Yearbook. Volume (36): p. 50.
- 18. Mekky R.Hthabet M.M.,RodriguezPerez CM.,Elnaggar D.M.Y., Mahrous
 E.A., Segura Carretero A.,Abdel-Sattar
 E.2020 Comparathve Metabolite
 Profiling And Antioxidant Potentials Of
 Seed And Sprouts Of Three Egyptian
 Cultivars Of Vicia Faba L. Food
 Research International 136,109537
- Negash, T. T., Azanaw, A., Tilahun, G., Mulat, K., And Woldemariam, S. S. (2015). Evaluation Of Faba Bean (Vicia Faba L.) Cultivars Against Chocolate Spot (Botrytis Fabae) In North Gondar, Ethiopia. African Journal Of Agricultural Research, 10(30), 2984-2988.